

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re	Application of: STEINI	MAN et al				Box PCT				#/	
Application No.: 09/719,770 - Entry Requested December 18, 2000							Examiner:				
IA No.: PC17QS99(13615 - IA Filed: June 17, 1999							Washington, D.C.				
METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS FOR TREATING DISEASES							Atty.'s Docket: STEINMAN=1B				
\Q							Date: November 5, 2001				
NOV 0 5 2001 🚉							Date: November 6, 2001				
THE COMMISSIONER PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS											
Washington, D.C. 20071											
Sir:											
Transmitted herewith is a [ ] Amendment [XX] Response to Notification to Comply + Sequence Listing + Disk											
in the above-identified application.											
[ ] Small Entity Status: Applicant(s) claim small entity status. See 37 C.F.R. §1.27.  [XX] No additional fee is required.											
[ ]	The fee has been calc	ulated as sl	hown below:								
	(0-1-4)		(0-1-0)	(0-1-3)		CNANLI	ENTITY		OTHER THAN	CAALL ENTITY	
	(Col. 1) CLAIMS	T	(Col. 2) HIGHEST NO.	(Col. 3) PRESENT	7 [	ATE	ADDITIONAL	OR	RATE	ADDITIONAL	
	REMAINING		PREVIOUSLY	EXTRA	'	A1E	FEE	OIX	10012	FEE	
	AFTER AMENDMENT		PAID FOR	EQUALS							
TOTA	L *	MINUS	** 20	0	x	9	\$		x 18	\$	
INDEF		MINUS	*** 3	0	_ x	42	\$		x 84	\$	
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM + 140 \$ + 280 \$											
					ADDITIONAL FE	ETOTAL	\$	OR	TOTAL	\$	
* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.											
**	If the "Highest Numbe	-									
*** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space.											
	The "Highest Number		Paid For" (total or in	dependent) is t	the highest numb	er found fro	om the equivalent bo	x in Col.	1 of a prior amend	ment of the	
number of claims originally filed.											
[XX] Conditional Petition for Extension of Time											
If any extension of time for a response is required, applicant requests that this be considered a petition therefor.											
[ ] It is hereby petitioned for an extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). The appropriate fee required by 37 CFR 1.17 is calculated as shown below:											
Small Entity Other Than Small Entity											
	Response Filed Within						Response Filed Within				
[ ] First - \$ 55.00 [ ] First - \$ 110.00											
	[ ] Second -				[ ]	Secor					
	[ ] Third -	\$ 460.00 \$ 720.00			[ ]	Third Fourth	- \$ 920.00 n - \$ 1440.00				
	[ ] Fourth - Month After Time Per				[ ] Mon		ne Period Set				
	[ ] Less fees (\$) already paid for month(s) extension of time on										
[ ]											
[ ]	Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, is attached, authorizing payment in the amount of \$										
[ ]	[ ] A check in the amount of \$ is attached (check no. ).										
[XX] The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this application or credit any											
overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035. This authorization and request is not limited to payment of all fees associated with this communication, including any Extension of Time fee, not covered by check or specific authorization, but is also intended to include all fees for the presentation of extra claims under 37 CFR											
§1.16 and all patent processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17 throughout the prosecution of the case. This blanket authorization does <u>not</u> include patent issue fees under 37 CFR §1.18.											
	BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.										
									·		
Attorneys for Applicant(s)											

(202) 737-3528 (202) 628-5197 Facsimile: Telephone:

Allen C. Yun Registration No. 37,971



### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	) Box PCT
STEINMAN et al	Examiner:
Appln. No.: 09/719,770 Entry Requested: 18-DEC-2000	Washington, D.C.
IA No.: PCT/US99/13615 IA Filed: June 17, 1999	November 5, 2001
For: METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS FOR TREATING DISEASES MEDIATED BY TRANS- GLUTAMINASE ACTIVITY	Atty.Docket: STEINMAN=1B ) )

# RESPONSE TO NOTIFICATION TO COMPLY WITH SEQUENCE LISTING REQUIREMENTS

Honorable Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Notification to Comply attached to the Notification of Missing Requirements dated September 17, 2001, and prior to the examination of the above-described application, please amend the present application as follows:

## IN THE SEQUENCE LISTING

Please enter the attached Sequence Listing, numbered as pages 1-2.

### REMARKS

Applicants have added into the present specification a paper copy Sequence Listing section according to 37 C.F.R. \$1.821(c) as new pages 1-2. Furthermore, attached hereto is a 3 1/2" disk containing the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form in accordance with 37 C.F.R. \$1.821(e).

The following statement is provided to meet the requirements of 37 C.F.R. \$1.821(f) and 1.821(g).

I hereby state, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. \$1.821(f), that the content of the attached paper and computer readable copies of the sequence listing are believed to be the same.

I hereby also state, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. \$1.821(g), that the submission is not believed to include new matter.

Under U.S. rules, each sequence must be classified in <213> as an "Artificial Sequence", a sequence of "Unknown" origin, or a sequence originating in a particular organism, identified by its scientific name.

Neither the rules nor the MPEP clarify the nature of the relationship which must exist between a listed sequence and an organism for that organism to be identified as the origin of the sequence under <213>.

Hence, counsel may choose to identify a listed sequence as associated with a particular organism even though that sequence does not occur in nature by itself in that organism (it may be, e.g., an epitopic fragment of a naturally

occurring protein, or a cDNA of a naturally occurring mRNA, or even a substitution mutant of a naturally occurring sequence). Hence, the identification of an organism in <213> should not be construed as an admission that the sequence per se occurs in nature in said organism.

Similarly, designation of a sequence as "artificial" should not be construed as a representation that the sequence has no association with any organism. For example, a primer or probe may be designated as "artificial" even though it is necessarily complementary to some target sequence, which may occur in nature. Or an "artificial" sequence may be a substitution mutant of a natural sequence, or a chimera of two or more natural sequences, or a cDNA (i.e., intron-free sequence) corresponding to an intron-containing gene, or otherwise a fragment of a natural sequence.

The Examiner should be able to judge the relationship of the enumerated sequences to natural sequences by giving full consideration to the specification, the art cited therein, any further art cited in an IDS, and the results of his or her sequence search against a database containing known natural sequences.

In re Appln. No. 09/719,770

Applicants submit that the present application contains patentable subject matter and therefore urge the examiner to pass the case to issuance.

If the examiner has any questions or comments concerning the above described application, the examiner is urged to contact the undersigned at the phone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Ву

ALLEN C. YUN

Registration No. 37,971

ACY:al

624 Ninth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

F:\,Y\YEDA\Steinman 1b\PTO\RESPONSE TO NOTICE TO COMPLY.doc